

# The world's elitists seek to impose abortion, forced sterilization and other forms of birth control on the world's poor and oppressed!

Here they are in their own words! *(Emphasis added.)*

**Al Gore:** “No goal is more crucial to healing the global environment than stabilizing human population.” And The Washington Times reported that during an appearance before a Congressional committee in 1997, Gore warned that since “overpopulation fosters global warming”, it was necessary to “expand birth-control and abortion programs in developing countries to help reduce the environmental threat.”

**Hillary Clinton:** “[I]t’s rather odd to talk about climate change and what we must do to stop and prevent the ill effects without talking about population and family planning.”

**Dr. Roger Short, Professor at the University of Melbourne:** “Global warming is a direct result of human activity. The more people there are, the worse the global warming threat gets. So we have got to do everything we can to control human population growth.”

**Diane Francis, the Editor-at-Large of the National Post:** “A planetary law, such as China’s one-child policy, is the only way to reverse the disastrous global birthrate currently of one million births every four days. And yet the world’s leaders don’t even have this on their [“climate control” summit] agenda in Copenhagen. [Nothing] will work unless a China one-child policy is imposed.”

**Charlie Brooks, British commentator:** “The real ‘elephant at the [climate control] summit’, however, is population growth. In spite of it being the core cause of climate change, everyone is running a mile from having a serious, frank discussion on how it can be halted.”

**Thomas L. Friedman, op-ed piece in the NYTimes, Sept 8, 2009:** “Watching both the health care and climate/energy debates in Congress, it is hard not to draw the following conclusion: There is only one thing worse than one-party autocracy, and that is one-party democracy, which is what we have in America today. One-party autocracy certainly has its drawbacks. But when it is led by a reasonably enlightened group of people, as China is today, it can also have great advantages.”

**London School of Economics, (from its August 2009 report entitled: FEWER EMITTERS, LOWER EMISSIONS, LESS COST; Recommendations):** “From the cost-benefit analysis, it has been found that family planning (considered purely as a method of reducing future CO2 emissions) is more cost-effective than most low-carbon technologies. It is recommended that an optimum mix of carbon-reducing methods includes family planning as one of the primary methods.”

**Britain’s Optimum Population Trust** suggests that the 10 metric tons of carbon emitted by a single return flight from London to Sydney could be “offset” by “enabling the avoidance of one unwanted birth in a country such as Kenya.”